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Defending Railway Operations from Targeted Cyberattacks
The city's railways are appealing targets for the fast-changing forms of attack constantly under development by dedicated cyberattackers.

\[\text{Nippon.com, "Shinjuku Station is Enormous! Daily Passengers Equivalent to Population of Yokohama", Aug 31 2018}\]
Threats to Distributed Railway Network Assets

*Mass Transit* magazine writes about how security specialists at the 2015 CeBIT Hannover Fair created a realistic simulated rail network, complete with fake "CCTV feeds, control interfaces, train schedules, and running time status updates". They then put this network online to see how much attention it would get from hackers. Over its 6-week runtime, 2,745,267 cyberattacks were documented, and in "about 10 percent of the attacks" intruders were able to gain some control over simulated assets. Attackers would come back again and again, meticulously studying the system and gaining more access every time — if the honeypot had run for a longer time, it’s likely that these intruders would have found their way to escalated privileges within the railway system, and the ability to cause significant disruption. ²

The distributed network architecture of railway infrastructure supports incredible adaptability and allows for the use of a wide variety of modular assets. It’s likely to include long-lived legacy controllers or equipment that are essential to operations — often the most vulnerable assets in a system. In contrast, the fast-paced development of new forms of attack guarantees that the threat landscape can change completely in a matter of weeks.

TXOne’s transportation technology experts describe rail as “a system of systems”, because the support of many subsystems is necessary for rail systems to run safely or often to run at all. Daily operations, maintenance, and public safety are all performed and maintained by these subsystems. While several subsystems are dedicated to the crucial task of functional safety, other subsystems in stations or rolling stock are also very appealing targets to cybercriminals. Attackers will seek to redirect trains as in the 2008 case in Poland, or might shut down ticket sales and demand a steep ransom for their return as in the attack on the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency in 2016. Hackers will leverage whatever privileges they can acquire, maximizing disruption.

Train Control and Management Systems (TCMS) come in a variety of architectures that all require access to a tremendous amount of widespread information as well as the ability to send out rapid-fire commands, detecting equipment failure and resolving the resultant issues before they become problems. Similarly, the supervisory SCADA or, in some cases, DCS must extend its reach to every station, and systems aboard carriages or deployed at the wayside are often networked into a “ring” or “chain”-like architecture to increase redundancy and maximize connectivity, allowing for the viability of functions like the carriage’s safety integrity level (SIL). Delivering safety reports and other data to the authorities, providing automatic updates of train schedules and real-time locations, and transmission of the telematics necessary for predictive maintenance are just a few of the routines for which modern transportation relies on high connectivity.

The same high-connectivity pathways that increase accessibility for trusted railway technicians also increase accessibility for malicious intruders. A successfully exploited vulnerability in any one of these increasingly interwoven and layered technologies has the potential to lock up operations on a large scale. As these systems gain complexity they only become more challenging to secure.

Modern cyberattacks are more often than not based on using stolen credentials to take advantage of privilege hierarchies that are already in place, as in a 2015 case in South Korea when cybercriminals alleged to be in the employ of the North Korean government stole data from personal smartphones. Fortunately, South Korea’s National Intelligence Service (NIS) was called into action to control the incident before stolen credentials could be used to cause harm. They later released a statement that it is necessary to prepare for a coming increase in “cyber terror against the railway transport control system”.

\[\text{As the railway industry has modernized and become increasingly automated, cyber-threats have increased in potential for catastrophe}\]

\[\text{Chuck Squatriglia, “Polish Teen Hacks His City’s Trams, Chaos Ensues”, Wired Magazine, January 11 2008}\]

\[\text{Jim Finkle, “San Francisco public transit system hit in ransomware attack”, Reuters, Nov 28 2016}\]
Attacks in 2020 demonstrated the immense potential for catastrophe that hackers can inflict on modern transportation technology. In July, a group of hackers calling themselves “Cyber Avengers” attacked Israel’s railway infrastructure. The attackers claimed that their attacks, continuing for ten days, disrupted operations at 28 railway stations and caused “severe damage to equipment and infrastructure”, though these claims were unconfirmed by Israel’s railway operators. They stated that the attack was intended to show the hackers’ ability to cause tens of trains to collide. Like this case, many cyberattacks in recent years have had claims or evidence attached that suggested them to be acts of terrorism.

Most recently, on July 20th of 2021, touchscreen ticket machines used by England’s Northern Rail company were taken completely offline by ransomware. According to ZDnet, the attack hit just two months after Northern Rail deployed 600 of the machines at 420 different stations, forcing sales to be conducted through the mobile app, website, and ticketing personnel. Cybersecurity specialists at Security-Week have speculated that this attack was not targeted and was instead the result of spray and pray tactics, which focus on disseminating ransomware at high volume and attacking opportunistically instead of tailoring attacks to specific organizations or fields. Incidents like this one will become less common as railway organizations continue to adopt more rigorous cybersecurity standards.

Communications-Based Train Control (CBTC)

* SCADA
* HMI
* Data Servers
* Automatic Train Supervision (ATS)
* Public Address (PA)
* Power SCADA
* CCTV Surveillance
* Platform Screen Door System (PSD)
* Automated Fare Collection (AFC)
* Passenger Information System (PIS)
* Access Control System (ACS)
* Ethernet Consist Networks (ECN)
* Ethernet Train Backbone (ETB)
* Automatic Train Protection (ATP)
* Automatic Train Operation (ATO)
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Can Regulations Alone Stop Targeted Attacks?

Each of the rail subsystems is covered by its own department of operators who are running different technologies with different cybersecurity needs. For this reason, many subsystems have their own unique security standards to which they must adhere. The CCTV and signaling subsystems, for example, have different functionalities, authentications, and disciplinary backgrounds for their technicians.

The safety-classified railway applications necessary for each subsystem have all been systematically type-tested and secured according to relevant certifications prior to leaving the factory. In other words, they’re intended to be secure by design. While this brings with it a multitude of benefits, the drawback of certifications is that they introduce common patterns into defenses that hackers can learn to predict.

If a cybercriminal can identify a vulnerability in one asset, that same vulnerability is likely to exist in other assets following the same certifications. In short, cybersecurity and compliance have an important relationship but are not the same. Solutions must go above and beyond safety certification, and be able to pivot and address emergent cyber threats. Dedicated security intelligence researchers must be engaged to accomplish this.

Drawing influence from many existing regulations such as IEC 62443, EN 50126, and CSM-RA, CENELEC’s new TS 50701 standards are projected to be available by summer of 2021 and will go a long way towards improving the cybersecurity of railway operations.7 They’re designed to consider rail as an ecosystem (of which the train itself is one of many parts), to address the ease of access that bad actors have to physical systems, and to classify systems as “safety-critical” or “non safety-critical”. These new regulations improve synchronization between stakeholders, create an overall rise in safety and security, and promote commercially viable cybersecurity for vendors, manufacturers, and operators. Technicians will need to be prepared to integrate cybersecurity into every phase of the asset life cycle, with special attention to the legacy systems that are common in the railway environment.

7 ENISA-ERA Conference: Cybersecurity in Railways, “CENELEC pr TS 50701”, Mar 16 2021
Practical Security for Legacy & Modern Railway Assets

While it’s often said that cybersecurity begins with education, the busy day-to-day work of railway personnel rarely leaves a surplus of time. For this reason, all defensive solutions must be as failsafe and streamlined as possible to promote ease of use. Ideally an appliance should be deployed that has the necessary protocol sensitivity to check network traffic for suspicious actions and deny unusual or suspicious behavior. Such appliances have the added benefit of significantly reducing the likelihood of human error.

Modular assets like TCMSes require spread out solutions so that every part of the system is protected. Preventing cyberattacks on subsystems becomes more practical with solutions designed around that subsystem’s specific needs – fixed-use endpoints with lockdown software, modern endpoints with lightweight antivirus, mobile assets with portable rapid scanning, and networks with the OT security triad of segmentation, inspection, and virtual patching. As the critical industry of railways continues to grow, so too will cyberattacks against it. Maintaining the constant operation of railway systems requires protection from the threats of today as well as tomorrow.
Halting Intrusions and Isolating Malware

Intrusion prevention systems (IPSes) were once mere filtering systems, and such IPSes are no longer adequate protection for critical infrastructure networks. Appliances in our Edge series bring more sophisticated protection to assets at the station and wayside, including both next-generation IPSes and a next-generation firewall. This family of solutions detects suspicious behavior on legitimate accounts or from legitimate devices, puts a virtual patching “shield bubble” around legacy assets that can’t be patched or replaced, and segments networks so that they’re much more defensible.

Distributed railway access points require solutions that are easily deployed in a range of locations.
In many cases, securing networks that support mesh or roaming with the OT security triad of segmentation, inspection, and virtual patching begins with a next-generation IPS. TXOne’s own EdgeIPS technology is available in two forms – regular EdgeIPS, which is designed for micro-segmentation on a 1-to-1 basis, and EdgeIPS Pro, which provides east-west cross-zone protection for 24 or 48 segments from a single centralized location.

In a station, EdgeIPS Pro works best deployed directly beneath the station’s rack-mounted Ethernet switch. From there, it can apply its native understanding of OT protocols to inspect all traffic in and out of the station subnet while its minimized latency allows data transmission to continue at optimal speed.

The access points (APs) that a train uses for mesh or roaming are often running with limited or hardly any security. Ordinarily, if someone stands in the wayside and takes out their smartphone, they can find an AP’s access ID and attempt to gain entry. With that access they will be able to affect the signal control system. An EdgeIPS deployed between the AP and its switch prevents such attempts at compromise.

The wayside’s safety- and mission-critical circuit monitoring, signal control, detection, and point machine assets all benefit from EdgeIPS security boxes running on a 1-to-1 basis, preventing interference by malicious actors. Ruggedization grants the EdgeIPS a high mean time between failure even in the potentially harsh environments of the wayside, where equipment cabinets can be exposed to extreme temperatures for extended periods of time. If an AP requires multiple ports, the next-generation firewall EdgeFire makes an effective bridge. The AP connects into one of its ports while the others are for control devices and the link to the switch.

One common sign of malware infection is suspicious outbound traffic resulting from the unwanted application trying to either “phone home” or spread itself around the network. Edge series nodes detect and stop these actions. Because modern cyber attacks are commonly based on stolen credentials, EdgeIPS series nodes have the ability to detect unusual traffic even among apparently approved devices or accounts, minimizing the potential for human error as well as stopping intruders from sending out commands on the network. It does this via a trust list, which functions by specifying approved commands and connections.
In rail, where gear as old as 20 years may still be in use, legacy assets benefit from protections that can secure vulnerabilities without modification to the device. Virtual patching is a network-based technology that shields the vulnerabilities of legacy assets while supporting their maximized availability and operation. Virtual patching was created to address the needs of mission-critical assets running past their end-of-service (EOS) date, and requires the support of a team of security intelligence researchers and technicians who can provide regular updates as new vulnerabilities are discovered.

TXOne Networks also recommends segmenting networks into more easily-defensible zones. These segments are created based on which assets must communicate to do their work, sometimes called “intentionality”. This causes malicious or irregular behavior to show up as unusual movement between or within these smaller segments of the network, making it much easier to detect or restrict. Edge series appliances are equipped to transparently create segments with no changes to existing architecture, and can be centrally managed via their companion OT Defense Console. This facilitates better support for railway control systems operating from differing geographic locations.

▲ Mobile and stand-alone OT assets benefit from portable solutions that support high availability
Securing Mobile and Stand-Alone Assets

Platform screen door control systems, fare collection and speed gate systems, depot assets, ventilation systems, train-borne equipment, PA systems, and surveillance systems have all been successfully secured with Trend Micro Portable Security 3 (TMPS3), a specially-prepared USB device that can conduct malware scanning and removal on the scanned asset without installation required. Its small size makes it easy to transport between stand-alone assets, and it's designed for easy use even by personnel without formal technical training. Status is indicated by three lights on its side – blue for no malware detected, green for malware detected and removed, and red for malware detected with further action required.

Devices brought on-site by vendors or maintenance experts are one of the most common ways threats are introduced to the network. In addition to routine scans of current assets our security intelligence specialists recommend conducting pre-scans of new equipment prior to its deployment in the work site, and setting up a checkpoint to scan devices that are brought on-site by maintenance staff. TMPS3’s ability to conduct quick scans without installing any software makes it perfect for checkpoint scans as well as securing sensitive equipment that can’t accept installation or modification.
For Fixed-Use and Legacy Assets

For fixed-use assets like ticketing stations and on-board computers, StellarEnforce can lock down applications, configurations, data, and USB connectivity. This is done with trust lists which flexibly limit the privileges of unlisted applications, users, and devices. Unapproved applications can’t execute, unlisted users can’t change configurations or data, and only administrator-approved USB devices can connect to the device.

StellarEnforce is already in use within many transportation OT networks. Our security intelligence specialists recommend its use for fare collection systems, speed gates, telephone control systems, CCTV surveillance systems, passenger information system (PIS) computers, and PA systems.